


Message

From: Herrick, Jacquelyn [Herrick.Jacquelyn@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/6/2021 8:01:54 PM
To: Messina, Edward [Messina.Edward@epa.gov]; Goodis, Michael [Goodis.Michael@epa.gov]; Echeverria, Marietta [Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov]
CC: Aubee, Catherine [Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov]; Saunders, Jennifer [Saunders.Jennifer@epa.gov]; Leifer, Kerry [Leifer.Kerry@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: PFAS Acceptability letter for 4 products

Herrick, Jacquelyn has shared a OneDrive for Business file with you. To view it, click the link below.

 PFAS-free container acceptability letter for signature-clean.docx

Hi Ed,

Clean version is attached. I'm not sure who will sign so I left off the signature block.

Thanks,
Jackie

Jacquelyn Herrick, PM 3
Invertebrate-Vertebrate Branch 1
Registration Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(703) 347-0559
herrick.jacquelyn@epa.gov

From: Messina, Edward <Messina.Edward@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2021 3:59 PM
To: Herrick, Jacquelyn <Herrick.Jacquelyn@epa.gov>; Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>; Echeverria, Marietta <Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>
Cc: Aubee, Catherine <Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov>; Saunders, Jennifer <Saunders.Jennifer@epa.gov>; Leifer, Kerry <Leifer.Kerry@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PFAS Acceptability letter for 4 products

Looks good. Can you send me a final and I will give Rick and Michal a quick heads up via e-mail.

Thanks,
Ed

Ed Messina, Esq.
Acting Office Director
Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety & Pollution Prevention

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C.
p: (703) 347-0209

From: Herrick, Jacquelyn <Herrick.Jacquelyn@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 3:38 PM
To: Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>; Echeverria, Marietta <Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>;
Messina, Edward <Messina.Edward@epa.gov>
Cc: Aubee, Catherine <Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov>; Saunders, Jennifer <Saunders.Jennifer@epa.gov>;
Leifer, Kerry <Leifer.Kerry@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PFAS Acceptability letter for 4 products

Hi all,

Most of the letter is part of a standard template for reviewing/accepting data. Because the studies are not necessarily acceptable for all products (outside of the letter) I was trying to avoid naming a specific study. The "studies above" refers to the subject line, which you're right could be more clear. I've revised accordingly, to make it clear the MRIDs are only acceptable for the products mentioned in the letter.

Regarding PFBA, per comments by OGC, we've added language from the review to tie in why the data are acceptable. The language on PFBA was taken directly from the BEAD review. The

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Would be happy to tweak further if need be.

Let me know.

Thank you,
Jackie

Jacquelyn Herrick, PM 3
Invertebrate-Vertebrate Branch 1
Registration Division
Office of Pesticide Programs
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
(703) 347-0559
herrick.jacquelyn@epa.gov

From: Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2021 3:15 PM
To: Echeverria, Marietta <Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>; Messina, Edward <Messina.Edward@epa.gov>
Cc: Aubee, Catherine <Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov>; Saunders, Jennifer <Saunders.Jennifer@epa.gov>;
Herrick, Jacquelyn <Herrick.Jacquelyn@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PFAS Acceptability letter for 4 products

Also the letter states - The Agency has reviewed the studies referred to above...

Should MRIDs be listed or is it referring to the Decision Numbers?

Michael L. Goodis, P.E.
Acting Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C.
571-309-5497 (cell)

From: Goodis, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Echeverria, Marietta <Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>; Messina, Edward <Messina.Edward@epa.gov>
Cc: Aubee, Catherine <Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov>; Saunders, Jennifer <Saunders.Jennifer@epa.gov>; Herrick, Jacquelyn <Herrick.Jacquelyn@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PFAS Acceptability letter for 4 products

Curious about PFBA – is that expected? Why is that noted?

I am not very familiar with all this so just asking.

Michael L. Goodis, P.E.
Acting Deputy Director for Programs
Office of Pesticide Programs
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C.
571-309-5497 (cell)

From: Echeverria, Marietta <Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 06, 2021 8:39 AM
To: Goodis, Michael <Goodis.Michael@epa.gov>; Messina, Edward <Messina.Edward@epa.gov>
Cc: Aubee, Catherine <Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov>; Saunders, Jennifer <Saunders.Jennifer@epa.gov>; Herrick, Jacquelyn <Herrick.Jacquelyn@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: PFAS Acceptability letter for 4 products

We plan on signing this approval letter for the Clarke products in PFAS-free containers. Let us know if you have concerns or if we should go ahead and sign.

From: Saunders, Jennifer <Saunders.Jennifer@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 3:15 PM
To: Echeverria, Marietta <Echeverria.Marietta@epa.gov>; Aubee, Catherine <Aubee.Catherine@epa.gov>

Cc: Herrick, Jacquelyn <Herrick.Jacquelyn@epa.gov>

Subject: PFAS Acceptability letter for 4 products

Hi Marietta and Catherine, we received the CITAB reviews and are ready to send the OGC-reviewed approval letter to Clarke—do you have any thoughts on who should sign? Under normal circumstances, it would be the PM. Thanks!